


How to Increase the Visibility of Lithuanian Research

Krzysztof Szymański

November 6th, 2014

ScienceDirect as a dissemination Platform

Where in the world have the articles authored by Lithuania affiliated researchers been downloaded?




Articles from Lithuania affiliated authors and published on ScienceDirect have been downloaded 1,676,351 times across the globe between 2009-2013

Source: Elsevier Web Analytics Department,
ScienceDirect Usage Data 2009-2013

Citations of your research published with Elsevier

Where have articles authored by Lithuania affiliated researchers and published on ScienceDirect have been cited in the world?



Based on country affiliations of authors, articles from Lithuania affiliated authors and published on ScienceDirect, have been cited by 56,972 authors globally

Source: Elsevier Web Analytics Department,
Scopus Data 2009-2013

Comparison with nearest peer

Scopus

~22K titles

>5,000 publishers

Updated daily

Scopus
21,912


Web of Science
12,491

WEB OF SCIENCE™


~12K titles

3,300 publishers


Updated weekly




Physical Sciences



Health Sciences




Life Sciences



Social Sciences


Scopus advantage for Lithuania – Publications and Citations



Elsevier contributes to your research process


Disseminate

Citing Documents




Certify

Published Documents




Case in point: Lithuania
Source: Scopus Data 2009-2013
Coverage: Approximately 5,000 publishers



Investigate



Referenced Documents





Elsevier Pub. A Pub. B Other S

Source: Elsevier Web Analytics Department,
Scopus Data 2009-2013


Total Number of Publications




Publications in Top 5% Journal Percentiles




Output in Top 5% Percentiles




Field-Weighted Citation Impact




Comparison of Lithuanian Output to Peers



Comparison of Lithuanian Impact to Peers



Comparison of Lithuanian Collaboration to Peers



Collaboration Impact at Lithuanian Institutions



Metric		Publications	Citations	Citations per Publication	Field-Weighted Citation Impact
International collaboration	48.4%	2,190	23,151	10.6	1.86
Only national collaboration	9.2%	416	1,252	3.0	0.63
Only institutional collaboration	33.0%	1,491	3,967	2.7	0.65
Single authorship (no collaboration)	9.5%	428	525	1.2	0.47



Metric		Publications	Citations	Citations per Publication	Field-Weighted Citation Impact
International collaboration	18.2%	473	1,821	3.8	0.96
Only national collaboration	13.5%	351	796	2.3	0.52
Only institutional collaboration	60.4%	1,572	2,738	1.7	0.49
Single authorship (no collaboration)	7.9%	206	294	1.4	0.41



Metric		Publications	Citations	Citations per Publication	Field-Weighted Citation Impact
International collaboration	16.7%	340	1,436	4.2	1.86
Only national collaboration	13.0%	264	631	2.4	0.67
Only institutional collaboration	52.8%	1,072	3,693	3.4	1.21
Single authorship (no collaboration)	17.5%	355	608	1.7	0.79

Thank you

Krzysztof Szymański
k.szymański@elsevier.com